ATTACHMENT 10

	Page 1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
4	
5	IN RE: DA VINCI SURGICAL ROBOT) Lead Case No.
6	ANTITRUST LITIGATION) 3:21-cv-03825-VC
7)
8	THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:) Case No.
9	ALL CASES) 3:21-cv-03496-VC
10)
11	SURGICAL INSTRUMENT SERVICE) **HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL**
12	COMPANY, INC.,) **ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY**
13	Plaintiff,)
14	vs.
15	INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.,)
16	Defendant.)
17)
18	
19	RULE 30(b)(6) VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF INTUITIVE
20	SURGICAL, INC., TESTIMONY OF MARSHALL MOHR
21	TAKEN NOVEMBER 7, 2022
22	
23	REPORTED REMOTELY BY:
24	ANDREA L. CHECK, CSR No. 748, RPR, CRR
25	Notary Public

	Page 2
1	THE RULE 30(b)(6) VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
2	INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC., TESTIMONY OF MARSHALL MOHR,
3	was taken on behalf of the Plaintiffs via remote
4	videoconference, commencing at 9:07 a.m., PST, on
5	November 7, 2022, before Andrea L. Check, Certified
6	Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public within and for the
7	State of Idaho, in the above-entitled matter.
8	APPEARANCES:
9	For the Plaintiffs:
10	(Present Remotely)
11	Boni, Zack & Snyder, LLC
12	BY MR. JOSHUA D. SNYDER, ESQ.
13	& MR. JOHN E. SINDONI, ESQ.
14	15 Saint Asaphs Road
15	Bala Cynwyd, Pennsylvania 19004
16	jsnyder@bonizack.com
17	For the Plaintiff Surgical Instrument Service Company,
18	Inc.:
19	(Present Remotely)
20	Haley Guiliano, LLP
21	BY MR. RICHARD T. McCAULLEY, ESQ.
22	111 Market Street, Suite 900
23	San Jose, California 95113
24	richard.mccaulley@hglaw.com
25	

Page 3 A P P E A R A N C E S (Continued) For the Defendants: (Present Remotely) Covington & Burling, LLP BY MS. KATHRYN ELIZABETH CAHOY, ESQ. 3000 El Camino Real, 5 Palo Alto Square Palo Alto, California 94306 kcahoy@cov.com Also Present Remotely: Michael Barankovich, Videographer Stephen Sherry

Page 22 Α. I am. 1 And what is usage-based pricing? 2 Ο. Usage-based pricing involves placing a system 3 with a customer where we don't charge for that system up 4 front. We do enter into a contract for the use and 5 license of that system. We identify a targeted number 6 of procedures that will be performed, and then we charge 7 the customer for that system or we charge them a use fee each time they use it for a procedure. 9 And when you referred to "systems," did you 10 Ο. mean to include da Vinci robots? 11 12 da Vinci robots and Ion systems. 13 Ο. da Vinci and Ion. 14 Is usage-based pricing more prevalent for the 15 Ion versus da Vinci? 16 I'm not sure where -- what the percentages are today, so I don't know. We -- I don't mind telling you 17 18 that we began the program with da Vinci because Ion was not yet in the market. 19 And, approximately, when did you begin -- did 20 Intuitive begin the usage-based pricing program? 21 22 I don't know the specific date. I believe it was, approximately, five years ago or so. 23 Okay. Thanks. 2.4 Ο. (Exhibit 233 marked.) 25

2.4

Page 26

amount of usage-based arrangements used by Intuitive Surgical since the 10-K issued?

- A. The proportion of usage-based placements has been growing faster than the whole -- than all of the placements. So, yes, I would -- "uptick" is a vague word. I would just say that it's increased as a proportion of the total install base.
- Q. Are you aware of any reports generated by Intuitive Surgical that track usage-based pricing?

 MS. CAHOY: Objection to form.

THE WITNESS: We monitor usage-based system activity closely. We watch how many procedures were performed. I don't recall that there is a schedule or a form that articulates the charge by system. Having said that, it's all contractually documented.

- Q. (BY MR. SNYDER) Mr. Mohr, can you please look at the sentence that precedes the one we just read.
- A. Could you read the sentence, just so I make sure --
- Q. Sure. "We generally set operating lease and usage-based pricing at a modest premium relative to purchased systems reflecting the time value of money and, in the case of usage-based arrangements, the risk that system utilization may fall short of anticipated levels."

Page 70 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 1 I, ANDREA L. CHECK, CSR No. 748, Certified 2. Shorthand Reporter, certify; 3 That the foregoing proceedings were taken 4 before me at the time and place therein set forth, at 5 which time the witness was put under oath by me; 6 7 That the testimony and all objections made were recorded stenographically by me and transcribed by me or under my direction; 9 That the foregoing is a true and correct 10 record of all testimony given, to the best of my 11 12 ability; 13 I further certify that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or party, nor am I financially 14 15 interested in the action. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I set my hand and seal 16 17 this 17th day of November, 2022. 18 19 Andrea Check 20 ANDREA L. CHECK, CSR No. 748, RPR, CRR 2.1 22 Notary Public 2.3 P.O. Box 2636 Boise, Idaho 83701-2636 2.4 My Commission expires July 20, 2028. 25